

# **Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/728,908

Applicant(s)

MARUYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

Katherine W. Mitchell

Art Unit

3677

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.

(3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Nelson Shapiro.

(4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 December 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 7-13.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner called because claim 7 has the flange extending from the first end of the shank. Other claims and drawings have flange extending from 2nd end of shank. Examiner believes this is a typo, as claim 7 now requires first shank end adjacent to second side of sheet shaped member, which is not explicitly disclosed or shown in Figures. Applicant to review and call examiner back. Applicant called back and stated that the first end is merely the end that is disclosed first in the claim, and the 2nd end the end disclosed 2nd, and no special properties are implied by first and 2nd ends.